Local Government

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
6.30%	6.00%	6.10%	5.21%	8.61%	7.58	
Efficiency Measure The cost of local government/central administration as a percentage of total municipal operating costs. Objective Efficient administration supporting local services.						
collection, informa services for all dep	tion technology services and the B a decentralized mo	vices, clerk and licer usiness Improveme	ncial services such a nsing, human resour nt Area. A centraliz e allocated directly to	ces, facilities mana ed model may resul	gement and legal t in a higher	

Fire Services

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
\$0.74	\$0.73	\$0.79	\$0.70	\$0.74	\$0.74	

Efficiency Measure

The operating costs per thousand dollars of assessment for fire services include firefighters, fire hall, emergency responses, equipment and administration.

Objective

Efficient municipal fire services.

Notes

Factors that can influence these results include:

- (a) Size of municipality and mix of urban and rural coverage.
- (b) Composition of fire services use of paid and/or volunteer firefighters.
- (c) Service-level decisions made by local Councils on response time and other services can affect the number of firefighters on staff and equipment levels.

Police Services

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001		
*\$194.17	\$475.45	\$580.52	\$466.32	\$466.12		

Efficiency Measure

The operating costs per household for police services. Operating costs include the police force, administration, facilities, communication systems, police board, prisoner conveyance, equipment and other police protection.

* 2005 reported per population vs previous years per household.

Objective

Efficient municipal police services.

Notes

The Town of Ingersoll contracted its policing with the Ontario Provincial Police effective February 13, 2003. Policing costs for 2003 are higher as a result of succession and severance agreements with terminated employees along with capital improvements.

3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001		
12.62	9.32	10.34	15.52	9.97		

Effectiveness Measure

Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE							
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001			
47.049	25.68	31.31	37.80	29.06			

Effectiveness Measure

Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes

		3.4 TOTAL C		
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
70.620	60.98	64.04	88.40	86.61
Effectiveness Total crime rate		ons (Criminal Co	de offences ex	cluding traffic).
Objective To achieve a lo	ow total crime rat	e per 1,000 pers	ons.	
Notes				
New formula was i 2005	introduced in 2002. 2004	3.5 YOUTHS 2003	CHARGED	
39.891	46.98	20.00	6.17	-
Effectiveness Number of you Objective Safe Communi	ths charged per	1,000 youths.		
Notes				
New formula was i	introduced in 2002.			

Roads

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
*\$7,119.11	\$1,709.70	\$1,653.40	\$1,537.94	\$1,040.38	\$1,229.71

Efficiency Measure

The operating costs for paved (hard top) roads (e.g.) asphalt surface, shoulder and surface maintenance per paved lane kilometre.

* 2005 based on total paved roads vs previous years based on hardtop only.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

Notes

New formula was introduced in 2002.

4.3 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
\$1,759.09	\$1,550.42	\$1,723.04	\$1,087.66	\$1,011.69	\$1,506.40	

Efficiency Measure

The operating costs associated with winter road maintenance (e.g.) snow plowing, salting, sanding, snow removal per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

Notes

Factors that can influence these results include:

- (d) Severity and frequency of winter storms during 2003 & 2004.
- (e) Standards set for winter clearance.
- (f) Inclusion of downtown and crosswalk clearing.

New formula was introduced in 2002.

4.4 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
76.9%	76.7%	76.7%	77.49%	75.63%	72.80%	

Efficiency Measure

Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.

Objective

To provide paved kilometres rated as good.

Notes

The paved road system should have a pavement condition that meets municipal objectives.

A road rated as good to very good is a road whose surface distress is minimal and no maintenance or rehabilitation action is required.

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF INGERSOLL

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2005 RESULTS

4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES						
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
97.2%	92.8%	90.2%	92.00%	92.46%	90.63%	

Effectiveness Measure

The percentage of winter road control activities (snow plowing, road salting, etc.) in response to a weather condition (snowfall, sleet, freezing rain. etc.) that met or exceeded municipal standards.

Objective

To provide an appropriate winter storm event response to ensure that any inconvenience and disruption in transportation caused by the storm is minimized.

Notes

The Town of Ingersoll has adapted a standard of plowing, sanding or salting roads when snow accumulation is between 5cm. to 10 cm. with a 6 to 24 hour response time depending on the classification of the road. The majority of times, these minimum standards are exceeded.

Description of measure has changed, but not its calculation.

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

2005	2004	2003	ECTION – EFFIC 2002	2001	2000
\$22.20	\$23.55	\$27.52	\$34.96	\$75.22	24.67
	·	φ21.02	φ01.00	ψ10.22	21.07
Efficiency Mea					
Operating costs	s for garbage col	liection per nous	senold.		
Objective					
Efficient munici	pal garbage colle	ection services.			
Notes					
Notes					
				– EFFICIENCY	
2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
\$15.43	\$12.73	\$17.30	\$0.84	\$40.36	\$25.96
ψ13.τ3	φ12.70	ψ17.00	φ0.04	φ+0.00	φ20.00
	pal solid waste c	liversion (recycli	ng) services.		
Efficient munici			ing) services. IDENTIAL SOLI	D WASTE	
				2001	2000
Notes	9.8 DIVEF	RSION OF RES	IDENTIAL SOLI		2000 8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A	RSION OF RES	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002	2001	
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A Measure	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A	2001	
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A	2001	
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A Measure residential solid v	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001 27.16%	8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A Measure residential solid v	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001	8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective Municipal solid	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A Measure residential solid v	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001 27.16%	8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective Municipal solid Notes	9.8 DIVER 2004 N/A Measure residential solid w waste reduction	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001 27.16%	8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective Municipal solid Notes	9.8 DIVEF 2004 N/A Measure residential solid v	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001 27.16%	8.38%
Notes 2005 N/A Effectiveness Percentage of r Objective Municipal solid Notes	9.8 DIVER 2004 N/A Measure residential solid w waste reduction	RSION OF RES 2003 N/A waste diverted fo	IDENTIAL SOLI 2002 N/A Dr recycling.	2001 27.16%	8.38%

Parks and Recreation

		10.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY
2005	2004	
\$32.85	\$27.68	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		person.
Objective Efficient operation	on of parks.	
Notes		
	10.2 RE	CREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY
2005	2004	
\$96.75	\$44.31	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		programs per person.
Objective Efficient operati	on of recreatior	n programs.
Notes		
This measure is based		, not the population participating in recreation programs.
2005	10.3 RE 2004	ECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY
\$83.75	\$109.89	
Efficiency Mea	sure	facilities per person.
Objective Efficient operation	on of recreatior	n facilities.
Notes		

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF INGERSOLL

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2005 RESULTS

10.4 PARKS,	REOREANON	PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) – EFFICIENCY
2005	2004	
\$213.35	\$181.88	
Objective	s for parks, recre	eation programs and recreation facilities per person.
Emclent opera	tion of parks, rec	creation programs and recreation facilities.
Notes		
This measure repres for parks and recrea		automatically completed when a municipality reports one or more efficiency measures
	10.5 PARTICIP	ANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS
2005	2004	
102,567.094	99,159.532	
• •		eation programs per 1,000 persons.
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of	this measure is total p	e the needs of residents.
Total participar Objective Recreation pro Notes	this measure is total p	e the needs of residents.
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of	this measure is total p	e the needs of residents.
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of recreation programs	this measure is total p	e the needs of residents.
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of recreation programs 2005 53 Effectiveness Hectares of op Objective	this measure is total p 2004 53 Measure	e the needs of residents. opulation divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in 10.6 OPEN SPACE
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of recreation programs 2005 53 Effectiveness Hectares of op Objective	this measure is total p 2004 53 Measure en space.	e the needs of residents. opulation divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in 10.6 OPEN SPACE
Total participan Objective Recreation pro Notes The denominator of recreation programs 2005 53 Effectiveness Hectares of op Objective Open space ac	this measure is total p 2004 53 Measure en space. dequate for popu	e the needs of residents. opulation divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in 10.6 OPEN SPACE

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF INGERSOLL

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2005 RESULTS

10.7 TRAILS				
2005	2004			
4	4			
Effectiveness Total kilometre				
Objective Trails should p	rovide recreatior	n opportunities.		
2005	2004			
.371	.381			
Effectiveness Total kilometre	Measure s of trails per 1,0	000 persons.		
Notes				

	10.	8 RECREATION FACILITY SPACE
2005	2004	
5366	5,366	1
Effectiveness Square metres	Measure s of recreation fac	cility space.
Objective Provide recrea	ation facility space	e that is adequate for the population.
2005	2004	
497.958	510.464]
Effectiveness Square metres		cility space per 1,000 persons.